

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Alexandia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usppo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/897,315	07/02/2001	Brent Kevin Larson	DN2001138	1737
7590 12/18/2003			EXAMINER	
The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company			WYROZEBSKI LE	E. KATARZYNA I
Patent & Trader	mark Department - D/82	3		
1144 East Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Akron, OH 44			1714	

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/897,315	LARSON, BRENT KEVIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee	1714		

THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) \( \times \) The period for reply expires \( \frac{3}{2}\) months from the mailing date of the final rejection. \( \text{b} \) \( \text{D} \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date se no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS 706.07(f).	mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding eu under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	g amount of the fee. The appropriate extension reply originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis	
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by issues for appeal; and/or	materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding numbe NOTE:	r of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	n a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been application in condition for allowance because: see attachment to the advisory.	considered but does NOT place the
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLE raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ELY to issues which were newly
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: none.	
Claim(s) objected to: none.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	
3. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved	by the Examiner.
O. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No.	(s)
0. Other:	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714

<sup>--</sup>The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Attachment to the Advisory

On 11/20/2003 the applicants have submitted Amendment After Final rejection, where the applicants have amended the claims to require that there is no pre-swelling of the clay before it is incorporated into the rubber.

The above amendment is not entered for the following reasons: The new limitation has been incorporated into the claims as negative limitation wherein according to courts, *In re Grasselli*, negative limitation has to be explicitly supported by the specification. The examiner was not able to find any support for absence of pre-swelling step. In fact in first paragraph of page two of the present application, the applicants do discuss the pre-swelling step, which is obtained by use of water.

In view of the Amendment After Final not being entered, the rejections of record are not overcome and are incorporated here by reference.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

December 16, 2003